UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,396	08/15/2006	Hidenori Yoshida	294829US0PCT	8201	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			WELTER, RACHAEL E		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1611		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/25/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/589,396	YOSHIDA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
RACHAEL WELTER	1611

	ACHAEL WELTER	1011					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	lies: (1) an amendment, affidav (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount tened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consi	,	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a con	responding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116	and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-5 and 7-25</u> .		ll be entered and an explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
/R. W ./	/Lakshmi S Channavaj	iala/					
Examiner, Art Unit 1611	Primary Examiner, Art U						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments after-final require further search and consideration. It is noted that applicant added two new claims (claims 26-27), which have subject matter not presented before the Final Office Action.

Additionally, applicant's evidence in the form of a 1.132 declaration will not be entered because the same primary references were used in the Non-Final Office Action as the Final Office Action. Applicant had the opportunity to file such evidence before the final rejection especially since the closest prior art has been the same throughout the prosecution of the application. Thus, applicant has not shown a good and sufficient reason why the declaration was necessary and not presented earlier.